

Suppl.3: Discussion questions

Research Champions: mixed methods evaluation of an interdisciplinary programme for community nurses and allied health professionals to build research capacity

AUTHORS:

Vanessa Abrahamson PhD PGCTL PGCert SR MSc BSc(Hons)

Research Fellow

Centre for Health Services Studies, University of Kent,
Canterbury, Kent CT2 7NF

ORCID ID: **0000-0002-1169-9457**

Bethany Baldock MSc BSc(Hons)

Research & Development Manager

Kent Community Health NHS Foundation Trust, Trinity
House, 110-120 Upper Pemberton, Ashford TN25 4AZ

ORCID ID: **0009-0004-2090-0273**

Lee Tomlinson RN BSc(Hons) MRes

Associate Director of Nursing

Kent Community Health NHS Foundation Trust, Trinity
House, 110-120 Upper Pemberton, Ashford TN25 4AZ

ORCID ID: **0000-0001-6530-0774**

Carolyn Jackson MSc PGDEd RNT PGDCoaching BA Associate

Professor Practice Transformation; Director UEA Health
and Social Care Partners; Faculty of Medicine and
Health Sciences, University of East Anglia NR4 7TJ

ORCID ID: **0000-0003-2046-0242**

Kim Manley PhD MN BA PGCEA RCNT Dip N(Lond) RN CBE

Emeritus Professor, Faculty Medicine Health and Social
Care, Canterbury Christ Church University, Kent and

Emeritus Professor, Faculty Medicine and Health
Sciences, University of East Anglia NR4 7TJ.

ORCID ID: **0000-0002-2075-2600**

Patricia Wilson PhD MSc BEd (Hons) RN NDN

Emeritus Professor of Primary and Community Care,
Centre for Health Services Studies, University of Kent,
Canterbury, Kent CT2 7NF

ORCID ID: **0000-0002-5787-9736**

KEYWORDS

Practice development | research capacity | nurses |
midwives and allied health professionals (NMAHPs) |

clinical-academics | professional development | service
development

ABBREVIATIONS

NMAHPs - nurses, midwives and allied health
professionals

NIHR - National Health Service

NHS - National Institute for Health Research

All author(s) made substantive intellectual contributions to this study by making substantial contributions to conception and design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data; drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content; and giving final approval of the version to be published.

Accepted for publication: Aug 14th 2023

Address correspondence to: Vanessa Abrahamson,
Centre for Health Services Studies, University of Kent,
Canterbury, Kent CT2 7NF

E-mail: v.j.abrahamson@kent.ac.uk

Chief Editor: Dr Claire Parkin. Current affiliation: Kent
and Medway Medical School, Canterbury, Kent. CT2
7NT. UK.

claire.parkin@kmms.ac.uk

<https://journals.kent.ac.uk/index.php/ajpp/index>

ISSN Number: Online 2059-3198. Copyright
© 2015 by the University of Kent, UK.

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE: The programme was funded
by Health Education England Kent, Surrey and Sussex
(Cohorts 1 and 2) and Kent Community Health NHS
Foundation Trust (funding after Cohort 2)
This evaluation was funded by Kent Community Health
NHS Foundation Trust

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST: All authors declare that
they have no known conflict of interest, including any
competing financial interests or personal relationships
that could have appeared to influence the work reported
in this paper.



© The Author(s). 2023 Open Access. This article
is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons
Attribution 4.0 International License
(<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which
permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in
any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the
original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the
Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were
made.

Discussion questions

1. What did you **enjoy** about the course?
2. How would you suggest **improving** the course? This could relate to taught time, extra sessions, supervision, assignments or anything else.
3. We talked about **anxieties** at the start (Figure 1). How have you managed these?
4. What role has your **clinical mentor** played?
5. What role has your **academic supervisor** played?
6. How have you **fed back to your service** about the programme?
7. What do you think the **impact** of participating in the programme **has** been, **or might be**?
8. How do you suggest we could **encourage staff** to participate in the programme? And increase the **diversity** of participants?

Figure 1: Initial anxieties about participating in the programme

